



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/714,166 | 11/17/2000 | Paul A. Medwick | 1559A1 | 4576 |

24959 7590 03/31/2004

PPG INDUSTRIES INC
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT
ONE PPG PLACE
PITTSBURGH, PA 15272

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

PIZIALI, ANDREW T

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1771

20

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Applicati n No.

09/714,166

Applicant(s)

MEDWICK ET AL.

Examiner

Andrew T Piziali

Art Unit

1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew T Piziali.

(3) _____.

(2) Jacques Miles.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPN 6,045,896 and USPN 5,821,001.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the claimed shading coefficient value. Discussed the addition of a new independent claim. The examiner suggested that the applicant submit data showing that Boire does not possess the claimed shading coefficient. The examiner suggested that the applicant submit arguments against the modification of the layer thicknesses of Boire. The examiner indicated that the addition of a new independent claim would likely result in new issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required